SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (Release No. 34-70776; File No. SR-FINRA-2013-031)

October 30, 2013

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc.; Order Instituting Proceedings to Determine Whether to Approve or Disapprove Proposed Rule Change Relating to Participation on the Alternative Display Facility

I. Introduction

On July 18, 2013, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² proposed rule change to amend FINRA Rules 6271 and 6272 regarding the requirements for members seeking registration as FINRA Alternative Display Facility ("ADF") Market Participants (the "Proposal").

The Proposal was published for comment in the <u>Federal Register</u> on August 1, 2013.³
The Commission received one comment letter on the Proposal.⁴ On September 10, 2013, the Commission extended the time period in which to either approve, disapprove, or to institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the Proposal, to October 30, 2013.⁵

¹⁵ U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 70048 (July 26, 2013), 78 FR 46652 ("Notice").

See Letter to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, from David Harris, Chairman and CEO, National Stock Exchange, Inc., dated September 9, 2013 ("NSX Letter").

 <u>See</u> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 70358, 78 FR 56967 (September 16, 2013)
 (SR-FINRA-2013-031).

On October 25, 2013, FINRA responded to the comment letter.⁶ This order institutes proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act to determine whether to approve or disapprove the Proposal.

II. <u>Background</u>

Current ADF Registration Requirements

The ADF is a quotation collection and trade reporting facility. According to FINRA, the ADF provides (1) ADF market participants (i.e., ADF-registered market makers ("ADF Market Makers") or electronic communications networks ("ECNs" and, with "ADF Market Makers", "ADF Market Participants")) with the ability to post quotations or display orders in NMS stocks and (2) all member firms that participate in the ADF the ability to view quotations and report transactions in NMS stocks to the Securities Information Processors ("SIPs") for consolidation and dissemination of data to vendors and ADF Market Participants. FINRA states that the ADF is also designed to deliver real-time data to FINRA for regulatory purposes, including enforcement of requirements imposed by Regulation NMS.

See Letter from Stephanie M. Dumont, Senior Vice President and Director of Capital Markets Policy, FINRA to the Commission dated October 25, 2013, ("FINRA Response").

See Notice, 78 FR at 46652. The ADF was initially approved by the Commission on July 24, 2002, in connection with the SEC's approval of SuperMontage and Nasdaq's registration as a national securities exchange. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 46249 (July 24, 2002), 67 FR 49822 (July 31, 2002); see also NASD Notice to Members 02-45 (August 2002). At that time, the ADF was approved for Nasdaq-listed securities for a nine-month pilot period to provide FINRA members with an alternative to the Nasdaq systems for reporting quotations and transactions in Nasdaq UTP Plan securities. On September 28, 2006, the SEC approved amendments to extend the ADF's functionality to all NMS stocks. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 54537 (September 28, 2006), 71 FR 59173 (October 6, 2006); see also NASD Notice to Members 06-67 (November 2006). The ADF was approved on a permanent basis for NMS stocks on January 26, 2007. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 55181 (January 26, 2007), 72 FR 5093 (February 2, 2007).

⁸ See 17 CFR 242.600.

FINRA rules provide that ADF Market Participants (<u>i.e.</u>, either registered reporting ADF Market Makers or registered reporting ADF ECNs)⁹ must register as ADF market makers or ECNs before making a market or displaying orders on the ADF.¹⁰ Members are required to register as ADF Market Participants by applying to FINRA, which includes certifying the member's good standing with FINRA and demonstrating compliance with the net capital and other financial responsibility provisions of the Act.¹¹ Before displaying quotations or orders on the ADF, ADF Trading Centers¹² must also execute and comply with a Certification Record to certify the ADF Trading Center's compliance efforts with its obligations under Regulation NMS.¹³

Status of the ADF and Other FINRA Transparency Facilities

According to FINRA, no member has registered with FINRA as a registered reporting ADF Market Maker since the ADF was launched in 2002, and there have been four members that, at various points in time, were registered as registered reporting ADF ECNs. ¹⁴ Since the second quarter of 2010, FINRA states that there have been no ADF Market Participants. ¹⁵

FINRA states that in 2011, it began the process of updating and migrating all of its transparency facilities (including the FINRA Trade Reporting Facilities, the Trade Reporting and

⁹ <u>See FINRA Rule 6220(a)(3), (12), (13).</u>

See FINRA Rule 6271.

¹¹ See FINRA Rule 6271(b).

An "ADF Trading Center" is a registered reporting ADF Market Maker or registered reporting ADF ECN that is a "Trading Center," as defined in Rule 600(b)(78) of SEC regulation NMS, and that is certified to display its quotations or orders through the ADF. See FINRA Rule 6220(a)(4); see also 17 CFR 242.600(b)(87).

¹³ <u>See</u> FINRA Rules 6220(a)(5), 6250(a)(7); NASD <u>Notice to Members</u> 06-67 (November 2006); see also SR-NASD-2006-091, Exhibit 3.

¹⁴ <u>See</u> Notice, 78 FR at 46653.

See id.

Compliance Engine ("TRACE"), and the ADF) off of independent technology platforms and onto a new, single, updated technology platform known as the Multi Product Platform ("MPP"). ¹⁶ FINRA originally scheduled the migration of the ADF onto MPP last, anticipating onboarding of a new ADF Market Participant no sooner than mid-2014. ¹⁷

According to FINRA, several of its members have discussed the possibility with FINRA of becoming an ADF Market Participant, and some have asked whether the migration of the ADF to MPP could be accelerated. FINRA states that such acceleration requires delaying the migration of other FINRA facilities onto MPP, reallocating resources, shifting scheduling, and implementing ADF-specific enhancements and hosting in the new technology environment - all of which, in turn, impose significant costs on FINRA, including prolonging the substantially higher expenses associated with the legacy OTC Equity Trade Reporting Facility ("ORF") infrastructure (i.e., legacy ORF support costs are significantly higher than the expected costs of supporting the ORF in the new MPP technology environment). In addition to the costs of accelerating the migration of the ADF onto MPP, FINRA claims that bringing the new ADF base infrastructure live in the MPP technology environment to accommodate an ADF Market Participant will impose significant direct costs on FINRA related to building and testing the new

See id.

See id. After the ADF is migrated to MPP, however, FINRA claims that it will only have the ADF base infrastructure completed. FINRA estimates that it would take at least an additional six months to complete further specific build-outs are necessary to accommodate an individual ADF Market Participant seeking to quote on or report trades to the ADF. To determine the specific build-outs necessary to support a new ADF Market Participant, a member would need to provide FINRA with estimated volume projections of quotation and trade reporting activity that would flow through the ADF. See id.

See id.

See id.

ADF component on the MPP infrastructure and also related to paying for SIP capacity usage allocations as well as various related costs.²⁰ FINRA estimates that the MPP component resequencing necessary to accommodate ADF acceleration and the costs associated with bringing the ADF base infrastructure live will conservatively cost FINRA in excess of \$3 million.²¹

Proposed Amendments to the ADF Rules

FINRA proposes to consolidate into a single rule (FINRA Rule 6271) the existing requirements that a member must meet to register as an ADF Market Participant and introduce new requirements that potential ADF Market Participants must meet to participate on the ADF. According to FINRA, these new requirements are intended to mitigate the substantial financial risks to FINRA of accelerating the migration of the ADF onto MPP or of building out the ADF base platform to accommodate an ADF Market Participant. ²²

ADF Deposit Amount

The Proposal would, in part, add several new requirements into the application that members must complete to become ADF Market Participants. The new provisions require that a member seeking to become an ADF Market Participant: (i) provide FINRA with reasonable monthly projections of the volume of data that the member anticipates submitting to the ADF;

See id.

See id.

See id. For example, FINRA Rule 6271 would specify that a member seeking registration as an ADF Market Participant must file an application with FINRA, execute the Certification Record, and execute a Participant Agreement. Rule 6271(a)(1) would require a potential ADF Market Participant to file an application with FINRA in which the member would provide various specifications and certifications.

The first three requirements of the application, which specify whether the member is seeking registration in Nasdaq and/or CQS securities, certify the member's good standing with FINRA, and demonstrate compliance with the net capital and other financial responsibility provisions of the Act, are the same as the requirements currently in Rule 6271(b). The Proposal would also codify other current requirements into a single rule. See id., 78 FR at 46654.

(ii) agree to submit the ADF Deposit Amount²³ in five equal installments into an escrow account at a bank mutually acceptable to the member and FINRA on a timetable as agreed to by the member and FINRA (the "ADF Escrow Account"); (iii) agree that failing to submit quotes and

²³

The Proposal requires potential ADF Market Participants to agree to submit an "ADF Deposit Amount" in five equal installments into an escrow account. The proposed rule change defines the "ADF Deposit Amount" as \$500,000 if the member requests that FINRA accelerate the ADF migration or if the member begins quoting on or reporting trades to the ADF within 90 calendar days after an ADF Market Participant that requested acceleration of the ADF migration begins quoting on or reporting trades to the ADF. For all other ADF Participants, the ADF Deposit Amount is \$250,000. FINRA claims that this is designed to ensure that applicable volume commitments are met. FINRA is proposing to establish the two separate levels of the ADF Deposit Amount to reflect the differing costs FINRA claims it will incur under either of two scenarios. Because FINRA states that it will incur significantly higher costs if the migration of the ADF is accelerated at a member's request, FINRA has proposed an ADF Deposit Amount of \$500,000 should the member request such acceleration. Additionally, to ensure that ADF Market Participants benefitting from an acceleration of the ADF onto MPP are treated equally, FINRA proposes to charge \$500,000 to any member that begins quoting on or reporting trades to the ADF within ninety (90) days after an existing ADF Market Participant that requested acceleration of the ADF migration begins quoting on or reporting trades to the ADF. According to FINRA, this amount, which, as noted above, FINRA claims is substantially lower than the actual costs FINRA will incur by amending the current MPP migration schedule reflects an appropriate balance between ensuring that FINRA is able to recover a portion of the costs associated with an accelerated migration while not representing a significant financial barrier to participation on the ADF, particularly since members can potentially recover 100% of the ADF Deposit Amount over the two-year term and up to 80% of the ADF Deposit Amount in the first quarter of their participation on the ADF through the credit structure for market data revenue described below. Moreover, FINRA believes that permitting potential participants to earn back the entire deposit amount is more equitable than charging potential ADF Market Participants a one-time payment without the ability to recover some, or all, of the amount. The Proposal reduces the ADF Deposit Amount to \$250,000 if the member has not requested an accelerated migration or does not become an ADF Market Participant within 90 days after another ADF Market Participant that had requested acceleration (i.e., paid an escrow amount of \$500,000) begins quoting on or reporting trades to the ADF. According to FINRA, the lower amount reflects the fact that the costs to FINRA are significantly reduced under these circumstances because the ADF base platform will have already been migrated to MPP. However, although reduced, FINRA anticipates such costs will still be significantly higher than the \$250,000 deposit amount in such a scenario based on costs related to possible additional hardware and software deployments, paying for SIP capacity usage allocations, and costs related to general staff labor, support and testing. See Notice, 78 FR at 46654-46655.

report trades to the ADF for a two-year period (the "ADF Quoting Term") will result in the forfeiture of some or all of the ADF Deposit Amount; (iv) agree that failing to submit 75% of the member's trade and quote volume in NMS stocks to the ADF (the "ADF Quoting Requirement") will result in the forfeiture of some or all of the ADF Deposit Amount; and (v) agree to the other ADF Deposit Terms set forth in the rule.

FINRA contends that these new provisions are designed to ensure that FINRA can recover a portion of the costs associated with accelerating the migration of the ADF to MPP and bringing a new ADF Market Participant onto the ADF if the ADF Market Participant fails to participate on the ADF as anticipated. FINRA also argues that certain provisions of the application are designed to (1) provide FINRA the information necessary to ensure the ADF can accommodate the volume of data the member anticipates submitting to the ADF and (2) establish the basis upon which FINRA will be safeguarded by ensuring that the potential ADF Market Participant will bear some of the financial responsibility should FINRA undertake the efforts and incur the costs necessary to bring the ADF Market Participant onto the ADF, only to have the ADF Market Participant fail to participate at all or at the agreed level.²⁴

ADF Market Data Rebate

The Proposal includes a means for ADF Market Participants to earn back the ADF Deposit Amount (the "ADF Market Data Rebate"). Specifically, the Proposal provides that for

_

The Proposal includes several required terms for the handling of the ADF Deposit Amount (referred to as "ADF Deposit Terms"), including the methods for ADF Market Participants to recover some or all of the ADF Deposit Amount as a result of meeting its participation commitments (or due to FINRA's inability to meet its obligations) and methods for FINRA to receive the funds if commitments are not met. The proposed rule change retains some flexibility in the precise terms of any agreements between FINRA and potential ADF Market Participants to ensure that any unique circumstances can be addressed by permitting de minimis additions or qualifications to the ADF Deposit Terms, provided both FINRA and the member agree to those additions or qualifications. See Notice, 78 FR at 46655.

every \$1.00 received by FINRA from the National Market System ("NMS") SIP data plans associated with ADF activity attributable, as determined in FINRA's sole discretion, to the member's trading activity on the ADF, the member shall receive \$0.50 out of the ADF Escrow Account. Thus, an ADF Market Participant could recover an amount equal to one-half of the SIP market data revenue generated by the ADF Market Participant's trading activity on the ADF. The ADF Market Data Rebate would be paid on a quarterly basis after FINRA has received its quarterly disbursement from the NMS SIP data plans. According to FINRA, this provides for a reasonable opportunity for FINRA to recover some of its costs of re-sequencing the MPP rollout by virtue of the SIP market data revenue split.

In addition, the Proposal provides that the ADF Market Participant is only entitled to receive an amount up to 80% of the ADF Deposit Amount pursuant to this provision and is not entitled to the remaining 20% of the ADF Deposit Amount until the end of the ADF Quoting Term, assuming its trading activity has earned the requisite market data revenue from the SIPs. To the extent that the ADF Market Participant opts to stop participating on the ADF before the end of the ADF Quoting Term or stop meeting its ADF Quoting Requirement before the end of the ADF Quoting Term (i.e., chooses to quote or trade through another trading venue), it would be free to do so but could potentially forfeit some or all of the remaining ADF Deposit Amount. ²⁶

_

Charges or credits as a result of SIP audit recoveries, which typically are <u>de minimis</u> as compared to the overall revenue paid, would not be included in the calculation. <u>See id.</u>

See id. If FINRA does not make the ADF available within nine months of an ADF Market Participant's first deposit of the ADF Deposit Amount into the ADF Escrow Account, one-fifth of the ADF Deposit Amount will be released from such ADF Escrow Account to the ADF Market Participant. An additional one-fifth of the initial ADF Deposit Amount will be released to the ADF Market Participant every month thereafter that FINRA has not made the ADF available, until all funds have been released from such ADF Escrow Account.

The Proposal also includes certain provisions designed to protect FINRA if a member requests that the ADF be migrated to MPP on an accelerated basis or if FINRA undertakes efforts to build out the system to support the member, and in either instance, the member fails to participate. The proposed rule change provides that one-fifth of the ADF Deposit Amount shall be released to FINRA if, in any calendar month beginning with the fourth calendar month following certification of the ADF Market Participant to quote on or report trades to the ADF, the ADF Market Participant fails to submit 75% of the member's quoting and trade reporting activity to the ADF.

Finally, the proposed rule change would make clear that a member would become an ADF Market Participant only after (i) the member received a notice of approval from FINRA that its application was accepted, (ii) the member executed the Certification Record, and (iii) FINRA executed the Participant Agreement.

III. Comment Letters

The Commission received one comment letter in response to the Proposal.²⁸ The commenter, NSX, contends that the Proposal is inconsistent with Sections 15A(b)(5), (6), and (9) of the Act.²⁹

Section 15A(b)(5) of the Act mandates that "[t]he rules of the association provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among members and issuers and

In addition, if a member is sold (other than a sale to an entity that would otherwise meet the FINRA qualifications as an ADF Market Participant), goes out of business, otherwise does not meet its obligations, or fails to complete the process for becoming an ADF Market Participant, the member will forfeit the ADF Deposit Amount, or any lesser amount remaining in the ADF Escrow Account, and all funds will be released from the ADF Escrow Account to FINRA. See id.

See NSX Letter.

See NSX Letter, at 1.

other persons using any facility or system which the association operates or controls."³⁰ NSX argues that that FINRA "fails to meet its burden of adequately articulating and justifying the reasonableness of the ADF Participant fees."³¹ In particular, NSX contends that reasonableness of the ADF Deposit Amount and ADF Market Data Rebate can only be determined after analyzing total cost, projected volume, source of funds, and future fees. Accordingly, NSX argues, the Proposal is deficient as it does not disclose the specific percentage that the Deposit Amount is of total development costs.³² In response, FINRA contends that it has provided enough information to demonstrate that the ADF Deposit Amount is reasonable.³³ In particular, FINRA included detailed cost estimates regarding the accelerated ADF migration. In addition, FINRA notes in establishing the ADF Deposit Amount it considered its ability to recover costs and whether the ADF Deposit Amount would preclude potential ADF Market Participants from using the ADF.³⁴ Finally, FINRA disputes NSX's argument that it is required to include a forecast of all future fees as part of its analysis of the reasonableness of the fees contemplated in the Proposal.³⁵

NSX also argues that FINRA failed to demonstrate that the Proposal constitutes an

³⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78<u>o</u>-3(b)(5).

³¹ Id. at 1.

See NSX Letter, at 2.

See FINRA Response, at 2.

See FINRA Response, at 3-4.

Id. at 5. NSX also argues that the Proposal is not consistent with Section 15A(b)(6) of the Act, which provides that "the rules of an association are designed ... to protect investors and the public interest; and are not designed to permit unfair discrimination "between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers." 15 U.S.C. 78o-3(b)(5). Specifically, NSX claims that the Proposal may raise issues with respect to an ADF Participant's ability to comply with its best execution obligation. See NSX Letter, at 5. FINRA responds that this concern is misplaced since the Quoting Requirement relates to posting of quotes and the reporting of trades, whereas best execution obligation implicates a broker-dealer's handling of customer orders for execution. See FINRA Response, at 6 n.11.

equitable allocation of fees and other charges consistent with Section 15A(b)(5) of the Act. 36 Specifically, NSX questions whether it is consistent with the Act for FINRA to (1) charge the same ADF Deposit Amount regardless of a potential ADF Participant's use of the ADF and (2) offer the ADF at a cost which will be spread among all FINRA members and not just ADF Participants. 37 FINRA counters that the fixed ADF Deposit Amount is not tied to the amount of usage since costs of on-boarding each participant are fixed and do not vary by ADF Market Participant.³⁸ In addition, FINRA claims that the ADF Deposit Amount is designed to defray costs but not cover costs entirely. Imposing all costs only on ADF Market Participants, FINRA argues, would discourage new ADF Market Participants from joining the ADF and reduce potential ADF revenue thereby increasing ADF-related losses. ³⁹ Further, FINRA notes that absent the Proposal, FINRA would incur all of the costs regarding the migration and operation of the ADF without the potential to offset such costs. 40 Finally, FINRA argues that the ADF Deposit Amount reflects an "appropriate balance between helping to defray the costs of migrating and operating the ADF while not making participation in the ADF cost-prohibitive" that is reasonable in light of projected \$3 million total costs cited in its Proposal and an equitable allocation among ADF Participants and its member firms. 41

Section 15A(b)(9) of the Act provides that "[t]he rules of the association do not impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of this

See NSX Letter, at 3.

³⁷ Id. at 3-4.

See FINRA Response, at 3.

<u>Id</u>.

See <u>id</u>., at 6.

See id., at 7.

title." NSX argues that FINRA fails to adequately address whether the Proposal imposes a burden on competition for other self-regulatory organization ("SRO"s) such as NSX. According to NSX, the Proposal is an unfair subsidy of FINRA's trading facility. In addition, NSX claims that the ADF Deposit Amount and the requirement to send 75% of quotes and trades to FINRA amount to an unprecedented burden on competition. NSX argues that the ADF Quoting Requirement would make it economically unfeasible for any other SRO that provides order delivery functionality to compete with FINRA. FINRA responds that the ADF Deposit Amount is not an unfair subsidy; rather it is designed to recoup expenses. Moreover, FINRA notes that the ADF Quoting Requirement is not an unnecessary or appropriate burden on competition because it is not a requirement to use the ADF, and is only a means to earn back the ADF Deposit Amount. According to FINRA, therefore, meeting the ADR Quoting Requirement is voluntary and at the discretion of an ADF Participant.

IV. <u>Proceedings to Determine Whether to Approve or Disapprove SR-FINRA-2013-031 and Grounds for Disapproval Under Consideration</u>

The Commission is instituting proceedings pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act to determine whether the Proposal should be approved or disapproved. Institution of such proceedings is appropriate at this time in view of the legal and policy issues raised by the Proposal that are discussed below. Institution of these proceedings does not indicate that the Commission has reached any conclusions with respect to any of the issues involved. Rather, as described in greater detail below, the Commission seeks and encourages interested persons to

⁴² 15 U.S.C. 780-3(b)(9).

⁴³ Id.

See FINRA Response, 5 at 6.

^{45 &}lt;u>Id</u>. at 6.

⁴⁶ Id.

provide additional comment to inform the Commission's analysis of whether to approve or disapprove the Proposal.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B), the Commission is providing notice of the grounds for disapproval under consideration. In particular, Section 15A(b)(9) of the Act ⁴⁷ requires that FINRA rules do not impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of this title. As noted above, NSX raises concerns, among other things, as to whether the Proposal creates a burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the Act, including whether it would impose a burden on competition for other self-regulatory organizations such as NSX. The Commission believes that questions remain as to whether the Proposal is consistent with the requirements of Section 15A(b)(9) of the Act.

V. Solicitation of Comments

The Commission requests that interested persons provide written submissions of their views, data and arguments with respect to the concerns identified above, as well as any others they may have with the Proposal. In particular, the Commission invites the written views of interested persons concerning whether the Proposals are inconsistent with Section 15A(b)(9) or any other provision of the Act, or the rules and regulation thereunder. Although there do not appear to be any issues relevant to approval or disapproval which would be facilitated by an oral presentation of views, data, and arguments, the Commission will consider, pursuant to Rule 19b-4, any request for an opportunity to make an oral presentation.⁴⁸

⁴⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78<u>o</u>-3(b)(9).

Section 19(b) (2) of the Act, as amended by the Securities Act Amendments of 1975, Pub. L. 94-29 (June 4, 1975), grants the Commission flexibility to determine what type of proceeding—either oral or notice and opportunity for written comments—is appropriate for consideration of a particular Proposals by a self-regulatory organization. See

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments regarding whether the Proposals should be disapproved by [insert date 21 days from publication in the <u>Federal Register</u>]. Any person who wishes to file a rebuttal to any other person's submission must file that rebuttal by [insert date 35 days from publication in the <u>Federal Register</u>]. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to <u>rule-comments@sec.gov</u>. Please include File Number SR-FINRA-2013-031 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

 Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-FINRA-2013-031. These file numbers should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the Proposals that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the Proposals between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m.

Securities Act Amendments of 1975, Senate Comm. on Banking, Housing & Urban Affairs, S. Rep. No. 75, 94th Cong., 1st Sess. 30 (1975).

Copies of such filings also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchanges. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-FINRA-2013-031 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the <u>Federal Register</u>]. Rebuttal comments should be submitted by [insert date 35 days from date

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 49

Kevin M. O'Neill Deputy Secretary

of publication in the Federal Register].

15

⁴⁹ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(57).